THE JUDICIARY
In this chapter we will cover...

- The Constitution and the National Judiciary
- The American Legal System
- The Federal Court System
- How Federal Court Judges are Selected
- The Supreme Court Today
- How the Justices Vote
- Judicial Policy Making and Implementation
• Alexander Hamilton penned in the Federalist # 78 that the judiciary would be the “least dangerous branch of government. It lacked the teeth of both the other branches of government; it had neither the power of the sword nor the power of the purse.”

• Today the federal courts are very powerful.

• Nevertheless, the courts still have two basic limitations: they have neither the power of the purse, nor the of the sword.

• The court cannot fund programs or their implementation nor can it force compliance with its rulings.
The Constitution and the National Judiciary

• Article III of the Constitution establishes:
  – a Supreme Court in which the judicial power of the United States is vested
  – life tenure or 'good behaviour' for judges
  – judges receive compensation that cannot be diminished during their service
  – such inferior courts as Congress may choose to establish
  – the original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court

• The intent of Article III was to remedy the failings of the Articles of Confederation which left judicial matters to the states.
Judicial Review

• Judicial review is the power of a court to decide if a law or other legal issue contravenes the Constitution, and overturn it.
• This power is not mentioned in the Constitution.
• Judicial review was established by the Marshall Court for itself and posterity in *Marbury v. Madison (1803).*
• *Marbury's* long-term effect has been to allow the Court to have the final say in what the Constitution means.
Judicial Review

• Judges have used this power sparingly.
• The power has only been used about 140 times to strike down acts of Congress.
• Although more frequently (over 1200 times) to invalidate acts of state legislatures.
The American Legal System

• The American legal system is a dual system:
  – state courts--actually 50 different 'systems'
  – federal courts

• Both systems have three tiers:
  – trial courts--litigation begins and courts hear the facts of the case at hand (original jurisdiction)
  – appellate courts--decide questions of law, not fact (appellate jurisdiction)
  – high or supreme courts
Figure 10.1 The Dual Structure of the American Court System

**THE FEDERAL COURT SYSTEM**

- **The United States Supreme Court** (hears approx. 96 cases)

**THE STATE COURT SYSTEM**

- **Highest State Courts** (50 courts handling 85,000 cases)

**Appeals Court Level**

- **U.S. Courts of Appeal** (13 courts handling 52,319 cases)

**State Intermediate Appellate Courts** (found in approx. half the states) (handling 295,275 cases)

**Trial Court Level**

- **U.S. District Courts** (94 courts handling 272,027 cases)

- **State Trial Courts** (89 million filings)

This figure illustrates the dual nature of the national court system.
This map shows the locations of the U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeal and the boundaries of the federal district courts in states with more than one district.
Federal Selection Process

- The selection of judges is a very political process.
- Judges are nominated by the president and confirmed by the Senate.
  - Often presidents solicit suggestions from members of the House of Representatives, Senators, their political party, and others.
- Provides president opportunity to put philosophical stamp on federal courts
Who are Federal Judges?

Typically federal judges have:
- held previous political office such as prosecutor or state court judge
- political experience such as running a campaign
- prior judicial experience
- traditionally been mostly white males
- been lawyers
Federal Selection Process

- President
- Dept. of Justice
- ABA
- Senate Jud. Comm.

Flow:
- President → Senators
- President → Interest Groups
- Senators → Senate
- Dept. of Justice → Senate
- ABA → Senate
- Senate Jud. Comm. → Senate
Nomination Criteria

- No constitutional qualifications
- Competence
- Ideology/Policy Preferences
- Rewards
- Pursuit of Political Support
- Religion
- Race and gender
Since the 1950s the number of federal judges have been increased dramatically by Congress to help meet demands by litigants.
The caseload of the Supreme Court has remained fairly consistent since its 1992-93 term although the Court accepts far fewer cases for its review than it did in earlier decades.

SOURCE: Administrative Office of the Courts; Supreme Court Public Information Office.
How the Justices Vote

Legal Factors

• **Judicial Philosophy**
  – Judicial Restraint - advocates minimalist roles for judges, and the latter
  – Judicial Activism - feels that judges should use the law to promote justice, equality, and personal liberty.

• **Precedent**
  – Prior judicial decisions serve as a rule for settling subsequent cases of a similar nature.
How the Justices Vote

Extra-Legal Factors

• Behavioral Characteristics
  – The personal experiences of the justices affect how they vote. Early poverty, job experience, friends and relatives all affect how decisions are made.

• Ideology
  – Ideological beliefs influence justices' voting patterns.

• The Attitudinal Model
  – A justice's attitudes affect voting behavior.

• Public Opinion
  – Justices watch TV, read newspapers, and go to the store like everyone else. They are not insulated from public opinion and are probably swayed by it some of the time.
Figure 10.6 How a Case Goes to the United States Supreme Court

- **UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DOCKET**
  - 96 Cases per year (1998–1999)
  - 7% on Original Jurisdiction
  - 65% on appeal from Federal Courts
  - 33% on appeal from State Courts

- **Original Jurisdiction**
  - 3 cases/year (1998–1999)

- In Conference Justices discuss whether or not to hear cases (Rule of Four)

- **Cert Pool** (Clerks help justices select cases for discuss list)

- 7,500 applications for Supreme Court review by appeal or writ of *certiorari*

- **Federal Courts**
  - Cases involving the interpretation of federal laws, treaties, or the U.S. Constitution
  - Over 87 million cases initially filed in trial courts

- **State Courts**

---

This figure illustrates how cases get on the Court’s docket; what happens after a case is accepted for review is detailed in Figure 10.7.
How Supreme Court Decisions are Made

Case on the Docket
Approx 95

Briefs and Amicus Briefs submitted

Oral Argument

Justices Conference
Cases discussed
Votes taken
Opinion Assigned

Opinions Drafted and Circulated

Opinions Announced
Judicial Policy Making and Implementation

• All judges make policy.
• This was particularly noticeable following the Court ordered desegregation in 1954 Brown ruling.
• Courts do not have the power to implement their decisions. The executive branch must enforce the Court’s decisions.
Figure 10.5 How a President Affects the Federal Judiciary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>President</th>
<th>Appointed to Supreme Court</th>
<th>Appointed to Courts of Appeals (a)</th>
<th>Appointed to District Courts (b)</th>
<th>Total Appointed</th>
<th>Total Number of Judgeships (c)</th>
<th>Percentage of Judgeships Filled by President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt (1933–45)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman (1945–53)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenhower (1953–61)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy (1961–63)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson (1963–69)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixon (1969–74)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford (1974–77)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter (1977–81)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reagan (1981–89)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush (1989–93)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton (d) (1993–97)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Does not include the appeals court for the Federal Circuit
(b) Includes district courts in the territories
(c) Total judgeships authorized in president’s last year in office
(d) Data for Clinton is through April 1999.

This depicts the number of judges appointed by each president and how quickly a president can make an impact on the make-up of the Court.

Data on Clinton and Bush provided by the Senate Judiciary Committee.
The Supreme Court Today

- According to a 1990 poll, only 23% of Americans knew how many justices sit on the Supreme Court, and two-thirds could not name a single member.
- In 1998, a poll of teenagers showed that only 2% could name the Chief Justice.
- The Supreme Court, and the federal court system, have a number of powers and some significant limitations.
- The courts are peopled by individuals like all of us who are influenced by participation in society.
Supreme Court Justices

• Chief Justice, John Roberts (2005) 50
• Associate Justice, Antonin Scalia (1986) 50
• Associate Justice, Anthony Kennedy (1988) 52
• Associate Justice, Clarence Thomas (1991) 43
• Associate Justice, Ruth Bader Ginsburg (1993) 60
• Associate Justice, Stephen Breyer (1994) 56
• Associate Justice, Samuel Alito (2006) 55
• Associate Justice, Sonia Sotomayor (2009) 55
• Associate Justice, Elena Kagan (2010) 50